INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL ON ECONOMICS, FINANCE AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

IJEFSD

Available online at www.researchparks.org

RESEARCHPARK

Journal homepage: www.researchparks.org/

Manual Budgeting and Forecasting and Its Disadvantages

Linda Nguyen,
University of Economics - Technology for Industries, Vietnam
John Lee,
University of Economics - Technology for Industries, Vietnam

A B S T R A C T

A financial forecast is a budgeting tool that shows estimated statistics based on past, present, and future financial conditions. Without a prediction, the annual budgeting process is incomplete. A strong forecast allows for improved financial decision-making and the delivery of important community services. The fundamental difference between a budget and a forecast is that a budget outlines a company's plan for achieving its goals, but a forecast outlines the company's actual results expectations, usually in a much more concise manner. In actuality, the prediction is the more important of the two tools because it provides a short-term snapshot of a company's current situation. Monthly, quarterly, or weekly rolling forecasts are used to help you plan for a specific time period that isn't covered by the yearly budget, such as the next five quarters. As a result, most rolling predictions will forecast the next 12 months or more, rather than just the fiscal year's end. Once a fiscal month or quarter has been actualized, your prediction simply "rolls" over to the next period, ensuring that you never lose sight of your long-term business trajectory.

A R T I C L E I N F O

Article history:
Received 15 Jan2021
Received in revised form 30 Jan2021
Accepted 28 Feb 2021

Key words: *Manual budgeting, forecasting. disadvantages, data security*

E-mail address: info@researchparks.org
Peer review under responsibility of Emil Kaburuan.
ISSN (electronic): 2620-6269/ISSN (printed): 2615-4021 . Hosting by Research Parks All rights reserved.

INTRODUCTION

A financial forecast is a budgeting tool that shows estimated statistics based on past, present, and future financial conditions. Without a prediction, the annual budgeting process is incomplete. A strong forecast allows for improved financial decision-making and the delivery of important community services. The fundamental difference between a budget and a forecast is that a budget outlines a company's plan for achieving its goals, but a forecast outlines the company's actual results expectations, usually in a much more concise manner.

A budget is built on a set of assumptions that are relatively close to the operational conditions under which it was created. If the business climate changes significantly, the company's revenues or cost structure may alter so dramatically that actual results deviate significantly from the budgeted expectations. This situation is particularly problematic in the event of a sudden economic downturn, because the budget permits a level of expenditure that is no longer sustainable in the face of unexpectedly reduced revenue.

In actuality, the prediction is the more important of the two tools because it provides a short-term snapshot of a company's current situation. Last but not least, in a fast-changing sector, where the assumptions used to create a budget could become obsolete in a matter of months, the last point is critical.

Monthly, quarterly, or weekly rolling forecasts are used to help you plan for a specific time period that isn't covered by the yearly budget, such as the next five quarters. As a result, most rolling predictions will forecast the next 12 months or more, rather than just the fiscal year's end. Once a fiscal month or quarter has been actualized, your prediction simply "rolls" over to the next period, ensuring that you never lose sight of your long-term business trajectory. Due to the numeric character of the budget, it tends to focus management attention on the quantitative components of a corporation; this usually means a deliberate focus on increasing or sustaining profitability. Customers, in reality, are unconcerned about a company's profits; they will only buy from it if they receive good service and well-made products at a reasonable price.

Forecasting's Advantages

Forecasting has several other advantages. For example, forecasting can reveal business patterns that might help you determine if you need to adjust your strategy. You can take advantage of funding and investment opportunities if you provide your investors with reliable estimates. Your projected figures could serve as a suitable starting point for your future budget. Forecasting enables managers to focus their efforts where they are needed the most, especially in the short term. Budgeting and forecasting are closely related, yet they are not the same. Before we go into best practises and common challenges, let's look at the key differences between budgeting and forecasting.

Forecasts that fluctuate over time

Monthly, quarterly, or weekly rolling forecasts are used to help you plan for a specific time period that isn't covered by the yearly budget, such as the next five quarters. As a result, most rolling predictions will forecast the next 12 months or more, rather than just the fiscal year's end. Once a fiscal month or quarter has been actualized, your prediction simply "rolls" over to the next period, ensuring that you never lose sight of your long-term business trajectory.

E-mail address: info@researchparks.org
Peer review under responsibility of Emil Kaburuan.
ISSN (electronic): 2620-6269/ISSN (printed): 2615-4021 Hosting by Research Parks

Forecasting: Forecasting is the process of developing a theory about a company's future performance based on existing data trends. Analysts are aided in identifying factors by the context in which deviations are reported. Vacations that change seasonally, for example, could be a significant source of positive and negative variances.

Forecasting and budgeting

A financial forecast is a budgeting tool that shows estimated statistics based on past, present, and future financial conditions. Without a prediction, the annual budgeting process is incomplete. A strong forecast allows for improved financial decision-making and the delivery of important community services. The fundamental difference between a budget and a forecast is that a budget outlines a company's plan for achieving its goals, but a forecast outlines the company's actual results expectations, usually in a much more concise manner.

In actuality, the prediction is the more important of the two tools because it provides a short-term snapshot of a company's current situation. If a budget is to be used, it should be amended more frequently than once a year to keep it up to date with market conditions. Last but not least, in a fast-changing sector, where the assumptions used to create a budget could become obsolete in a matter of months, the last point is critical.

DISADVANTAGES

Inaccuracy

A budget is built on a set of assumptions that are relatively close to the operational conditions under which it was created. If the business climate changes significantly, the company's revenues or cost structure may alter so dramatically that actual results deviate significantly from the budgeted expectations. This situation is particularly problematic in the event of a sudden economic downturn, because the budget permits a level of expenditure that is no longer sustainable in the face of unexpectedly reduced revenue.

Decision-making that is rigid

There is no formal commitment to revise strategy for the rest of the year. As a result, if the market shifts fundamentally shortly after a budget is finished, there is no procedure in place to formally analyse the situation and make changes, putting a company at a significant disadvantage to its more agile competitors.

Required time

Creating a budget can take a long time, especially in a disorganised workplace where multiple budget modifications are required. If a well-designed budgeting procedure is in place, staff are familiar with the process, and the organisation uses budgeting software, the time involved is reduced. If company conditions are continually changing, the work required can be more substantial, necessitating multiple iterations of the budget model.

Taking advantage of the system

An experienced manager may try to introduce budgetary slack, which entails lowering revenue forecasts while raising spending forecasts, in order to easily obtain favourable variances versus the budget. This can be a major issue that necessitates a great deal of supervision to detect and eliminate.

Blame for the results

If a department fails to meet its budgeted goals, the department manager may point the finger at other departments that supply services to it for not providing appropriate assistance.

Allocations of expenses

The budget may stipulate that particular amounts of overhead costs be assigned to various departments, and the managers of those departments may object to the techniques employed in the allocation. This is especially problematic when departments are not allowed to substitute lower-cost services offered elsewhere for services provided within the corporation.

Only takes into account financial outcomes

Due to the numeric character of the budget, it tends to focus management attention on the quantitative components of a corporation; this usually means a deliberate focus on increasing or sustaining profitability. Customers, in reality, are unconcerned about a company's profits; they will only buy from it if they receive good service and well-made products at a reasonable price. Unfortunately, because these concepts are qualitative, it is difficult to include them into a budget. As a result, the budgeting idea does not always support client needs.

CONCLUSION

All of the "deficiencies" mentioned in the article are true, however they are not due to the budget or the budgeting process. It is a managerial failing to use the budget as a guideline; a tool for planning ahead into the future. It is a management failure, not a budget failure, when management falls into the trap of treating the budget as a straight jacket. The budget is a starting point based on the best information available at the time it is created. It allows you to evaluate why your progress or outcomes differ from what you expected during the budgeting process. Because the budget says so, adapting to new circumstances, altering strategic direction, and/or taking advantage of opportunities not considered during the budget formation cannot be limited. The budget is a good place to start. A important step is to incorporate ongoing forecasting (budget update) throughout the year. To run a firm, a regular "recast" of the budget is required. We learn to enhance the following budget cycle so that our starting position is a better predictor of where we think we'll end up. It's the very first stake in the ground. We must be prepared to reallocate resources, when necessary, but we must also understand why our perspective (budget) did not account for changes that occur throughout the year.

REFERENCES

1. Carrion Schafer, B. and Wakabayashi, K. (2012) „Machine learning predictive modeling high-level synthesis design space exploration‟, IET computers & digital techniques / IET, 6(3), p. 153.
2. Cockburn, A., Gutwin, C. and Greenberg, S. (2007) „A predictive model of menu performance‟, in Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems. New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, pp. 627–636.
3. Cokins, G. (2017) „Strategic business management: From planning to performance‟.

4. Collier, P. M. and Agyei-Ampomah, S. (2005) Management Accounting-Risk and Control Strategy. Elsevier.
5. Kale, V. (2014) Inverting the Paradox of Excellence: How Companies Use Variations for Business Excellence and How Enterprise Variations Are Enabled by SAP. CRC Press.
6. Laud, P. W. and Ibrahim, J. G. (1995) „Predictive model selection‟, Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Available at: https://rss.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02028.x.for conducting practical exercises in