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ABSTRACT
This study focused on tourists’ behavioural pattern in the consumption of region-specific tangible and intangible cultural heritage resources in Nigeria. It addresses the extent to which demand for and the production of heritage tourism in Nigeria can be identified by categorization of cultural heritage consumers. The major objective is to define tourist’s behavioural consumption pattern of region-specific cultural heritage resources in terms of identified consumer category or market segment. Data set for the study was gathered through questionnaires structured on 5-point likert scale and yes or no response option. 4,750 respondents were analyzed using the simple descriptive analysis. factors found to influence consumption of cultural heritage resource in relation to other purchase during the event in order of importance include income; Religion; Culture/Cultural Practice; distance from urban center; Gender; Patriotism and Age. Result of the effect of other structures of the event authentic environment on behaviour are landscape (Historic sites, built environment, topography; food and drinks; handicraft; music and dance; and rituals. Result showed that consumption of region-specific cultural heritage resource is dynamic and vary between regions. Generally region-specific cultural heritage resource consumers are roaming cultrophiles.

ARTICLE INFO
Article history:
Received 29 Jan 2022
Received in revised form 30 Jan 2022
Accepted 05 Feb 2022

Keywords: Cultural, Resources, Tangible, Consumption.
The study conclude that there is significant tourists' consumption pattern of region specific tangible and intangible heritage in relation to the factors influencing cross-sectorial linkage and purchases

INTRODUCTION

Cultural tourism inventory are the –Cultural heritages‖ or the –Tangible and Intangible cultural heritages‖. Cultural heritages are important elements of a region's uniqueness and appeal. They constitute the primary cultural resources that tourists consume. Tangible cultural heritages include man’s physical ingenious products which can be touched and seen such as architecture/buildings, defensive walls and ditches, crafts, tools, ivory, cowries, paintings, textiles, pestles, mortars, iron furnaces, knives, food, wooden objects, tombs & grave goods, temples, dresses, pottery & potsherd pavements, monuments, books, works of art, and among other artifacts. –Artifacts as a broad concept are objects and/or features made and/or used by man/humans in an attempt to cope with the challenges and problems of social and natural conditions (Ogundele, 2014). Intangible heritage non-material or ideological cultural heritages include all intangible and invisible aspects of a peoples’ ways of life such as ideas, folklore, kinship, norms, values, worldviews, philosophies of life, religious beliefs and practices, music, dance, festivals, traditions, language, and knowledge among others (Nnonyelu, 2009; Ogundele, 2000) that enable regional cultures to attract tourists at low expenditure (Shoval and McKercher 2017). These cultural heritages provide the tourist the opportunity to see how the local communities celebrate their culture and help the visitors to interact with the host community. They promote cultural diversity of the region and facilitate exchanges for language learning; enhance cooperation among the localities through cultural immersion; and strengthen the process of regional integration (Okpoko, 2011). Consumption of cultural heritages by different people (tourist) depends on tourist motives and tourist behaviours. The relationship between motivation, behavior and adaptation to destination cultural constructs are the primary cause of difference in tourist level of visits and participation (Guccio et al. 2017). This relationship also explains the choice of a destination over another; difference in tourism resource optimality, value chain consumption and individual destination's competitive advantage and ultimate contribution to aggregate tourism development (Mathias & David, 2014).

Tourists’ that attend cultural heritage events tend to take less holidays, particularly short breaks, and were generally older. The cultural tourism consumption pattern is also different, especialyin terms of visits to heritage attractions during their stay. Tourists’ consumption of heritage resource seems to be characterized by a high degree of continuity between everyday leisure consumption and consumption patterns while on holiday (Richards, 2011). The vast majority of visits to cultural heritage events are often a reflection of cultural visits to home country or region (Barbieri and Mahoney, 2010). In most cases are driven by close association with age or social groups that have strong underlying ethnocentric tradition and vary in heritage demand (Pappalepore, Maitland, and Smith, 2010). This implies that cultural heritage resource consumers are increasingly differentiated in characteristics, needs, expectations, opportunities for cultural consumption, the growing popularity of tourism as a whole (providing more scope to consume culture on holiday) and changes in the nature of cultural consumption itself (Pulido-Fernandez and Sanchez-Rivero, 2010). On the premise of heritage attraction and or heritage event characteristics, relationships between groups of actors and the structures that recursively influence tourist behaviour had been argued to have implication for identifying tourists’ behavioural consumption pattern (Richards and van der Ark 2013). It can enable effective partitioning of the effect of changing consumer taste (age effect) on the visitation of different types of cultural heritage events in relation to incomes and cultural capital levels (Pappalepore, Maitland, and Smith, 2010). Cultural heritage resources as cultural goods and services constitute real capital in that they
integrate as commodities in the market-based circulation of capital (Richards and van der Ark 2013); thus adding cultural value to economic value (Stylianou-Lambert, 2011). This study is focused on the need to understand the relationship between cultural heritage resource as commodities in the market-based circulation of capital; and consumer cluster with characteristic market description. The aim is therefore to segment tourists' behavioural pattern in the consumption of region-specific tangible and intangible cultural heritage resources into identifiable market clusters.

**PROBLEM OF THE STUDY**

Heritages are characteristic tangible and intangible features of a society passed down from generation to generation through conscious preservation (Ivanovic, 2008). They may include features of historic, educational, recreational, and economic importance, preserved and handed over from one generation to another. In other words they are valued endowments emanating from man and nature inherited from past generations, maintained in the present and bestowed for the benefit of future generations (Ogundele, 2014).

In Nigeria, studies conducted independently by different researchers have identified considerable variations in cultural heritage resource consumption patterns, travel experiences and socio-economic background (Van der Ark and Richards, 2006; Ezenagu, 2015; Danesi, 2017). These variations had been attributed to social class or taste distinctions (Bourdieu, 1984); increasing social mobility and changing tastes (Barbieri and Mahoney, 2010); and increasingly differentiated characteristics, needs, and expectations of tourists (Pulido-Fernandez and Sanchez-Rivero, 2010). Stylianou-Lambert (2011), attempt to characterize cultural tourists in terms of motivations, interests, experiences sought or activities engaged in; but these actor-centered approaches limit proper evaluation of the interactions between tourists and the tangible/intangible heritage attractions and events they visit in terms of identifiable consumer market segment (Hannam and Knox, 2010). These diversities have heightened the challenge of strict categorization or differentiation of cultural heritage resource consumption in the cultural tourism market space (McKercher, Ho, Du Cros, and Chow, 2002; Ezenagu, 2015).

According to some studies (Günlü, Yağcı & Pırnar, 2013; Stratan, Perciu and Grebincea, 2015) a significant growth in heritage consumption is evident in all Western European countries. Openness of the consumers (on age groups and professional profile), values, emotions, unique interpretation and participation seems to confirm rising contention that the demand for heritage attractions has been categorized especially among the new middle class or service class during the last three decades. Few studies in this direction focused on conventional multietnic cultural festivals (i.e. the Calabar carnivals) but seem to be limiting in clearly differentiating cultural heritage consumption by identifiable consumer cluster as evident in all Western European countries. This study therefore addresses the extent to which demand for and the production of heritage tourism in Nigeria can be identified by categorization of cultural heritage consumers. It attempts to define tourist’s behavioural consumption pattern of region-specific cultural heritage resources in terms of identified consumer category or market segment.

**LITERATURE REVIEW**

As a country of multiethnic population, Nigeria has a multiplicity of natural endowment, cultural practices and heritage resources (Ezenagu, 2015). Across the geopolitical regions of the nation the cultural environment encompasses landscapes, historic places, sites and built environments, as well as biodiversity collections, past and continuing cultural practices, knowledge and living experiences (Günlü, Yağcı, & Pırnar, 2013). According to UNESCO (2003) heritage resources are tangible and intangible elements of core traditional identity that have the potential to contribute to our
understanding or appreciation of human story or which are an important part of continuing cultural traditions in a spiritual and emotional sense. Cultural heritage reflect the invaluable cultural traditions of the society and generate knowledge on historical legacies overtime (Ezenagu, 2017, 2015).

Any travel embarked upon as a result of the desire to consume or learn about other peoples’ culture stimulates tourism (Ezenagu & Iwuagwu, 2016). Thus cultural tourism encompasses consumption of a region, group, institution or community’s historical, artistic, scientific, or lifestyle/heritage offerings (Walker & Walker, 2011). It entails consumption of community’s authentic environment or physical manifestation of their lives as expressed in arts and crafts, music, literature, dance, food and drink, play, handicrafts, language and ritual (Dewar, 2005). Timothy and Nyaupane (2009), noted that consumption of cultural and historical resources is very integral to growth of the tourism industry and communities maximize benefits from cultural tourism, by commodifying elements of their cultural heritage—particularly crafts and events to facilitate tourist consumption (Ivanovic, 2008). To safeguard products of historical processes with many outstanding historic values from total destruction by commodification there has been efforts to list Nigeria heritage resources as World Heritage Site (Leslie and Sigala, 2005). This status portrays heritage resources as one of the major motivating factor for cultural tourism in Nigeria (Edgell, 2006). It suggests that consumption of heritage resource is not just about the consumption of the cultural products of the past, but also of the contemporary culture or the way of life of a people or region (Richards, 2001) which describes it also as heritage tourism(Ezenagu, 2020).

Thus while culture details man’s relationship with his environment while tourism commodifies the products of such relationship for tourist consumption (Ezenagu, 2014). In either circumstance heritage resource constitute different forms of human activity perceived as reflecting the totality of a people’s way of life (Rodzi, Zaki, and Subli, 2013). It may include set of distinctive spiritual, material, intellectual and emotional features of society or a social group (UNESCO, 2001) and or the totality of learned, socially transmitted customs, knowledge, material objects and behavior (Schaefer, 2002); and indicates that different forms of cultural expressions lure tourist in their consumption of heritage tourism events (Rodzi, Zaki, and Subli, 2013).

The economic benefit from heritage resource consumption promotes tourism attraction; leads to financial and political support for management of heritage and enhances regional development. It enhances visitors’ understanding and appreciation of importance characteristics of a community and its culture as a whole (Zedková & Kajzar, 2013). They constitute key drivers of heritage destination attractiveness sin terms of socialization, trade and tourism (Danesi, 2017). They reflect intrinsic peculiarities of different cultures that are major stimulants of tourist attraction and which elicit continuing growth in cultural purposes, historic values and civilizations (Stratan, Perciun, and Gribincea, 2015).

Studies have identified typologies of heritage tourism which does not only attempt to characterize tourists in terms of motivations, interests, experiences sought or activities engaged in (Stylianou-Lambert, 2011) but also that rely increasing social mobility and changing tastes to differentiate tourists’ by styles of consumption (Barbieri and Mahoney, 2010). Van der Ark and Richards (2006), found three classes, corresponding broadly to specific cultural tourists, general cultural tourists and infrequent visitors with a preference for popular culture and entertainment. These were broken down into major three segments and they include the _museum or artifact culturophiles’, which represents those who value museums or artifacts highly but do not visit events, the _culturally inactive‘ segment, which consist of persons who attach particular importance to the museum or artifact offer but who have a low probability of making actual visits and the _roaming culturophiles’, or tourists who are very likely to
make cultural visits during their stay, who take cultural events into account in making their choice of
destination, but who have little interest in museums (Pulido-Fernández and Sánchez-Rivero, 2010).
Richards and van der Ark (2013) noted that in the _roaming culturophiles_; artifacts such as monuments,
pavements, handicraft etc grouped together have features that are more static and high-brow attractions;
but that arts attractions such as events and performances, which tend to be more dynamic are generally
less high brow. This tends to support the division between _heritage tourists_ and _arts tourists_ that is
often made in the literature (Hughes, 2000; Greenacre and Blasius, 2006)

Consumption of cultural heritage may be defined as the maximum amount individuals are willing to
expend in order to experience a certain tourist attraction elements (Brida, Meleddu, and Paulina
2013). Not all cultural tourists _–_consume cultural heritage elements in the same way (Galí-Espelt
2012). One of the main characteristics of cultural tourists is that they are tourists with higher
purchasing power; expectedly their consumption of cultural heritage elements is higher than in the
other selective forms of the tourist offer. Shoval and McKercher (2017) argue that tourists’ levels of
discontent or satisfaction are in response to contemporary concerns measured by socioeconomic and
environmental impacts

METHODOLOGY

The study adopted a survey research design and data was collected with the instrument of the
questionnaire partly structured in 5-point likert scale and partly in simple _–_YES or NO‖ response. A
total of 5,000 questionnaires were distributed out of which 4,750 were completely responded to. This
gave a 95% response rate. The simple descriptive analysis of respondent’s demographics is showed on
table 1

Table 1: Overall Demographic and Tourists’ Trip-Related characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
<th>Distance Traveled (Km)</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>1 - 10 km</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>11 - 20 km</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior School</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>21 - 30km</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cert</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vocational/Technical</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>31 - 40 km</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OND/HND</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Over 51km</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor degree</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Masters degree</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1 time</td>
<td>23.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctoral degree</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Over 1 time</td>
<td>76.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 - 20yrs</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Day trip</td>
<td>33.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 - 25yrs</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Overnight</td>
<td>66.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 - 34yrs</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spending</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 - 45yrs</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Under ₦20,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46 - 55yrs</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>₦21,000 - 50,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 - 60yrs</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>₦51,000 - 100,000</td>
<td>30.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>over 61yrs</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>₦101,000 - 150,000</td>
<td>35.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Generally attendees to cultural heritage event were more of male (69%) respondents than female (31%). Majority of the respondents were university bachelor and doctorate degrees holders (34% and 12% respectively). About half (50%) of the respondents are in the age group 35 – 55 years. 25% of the respondents traveled between 1 – 10 kilometers to get to the festival venue and 23.9% have visited more than once. 76.1% stayed beyond one day and most (35.5%) of the respondent spent between ₦101,000 - ₦150,000.00 during each festival. Implicitly this result infers that males are attune to ethno-cultural representation than the females; thus supporting the patriarchal system that defines the Nigeria society.

In the evaluation of the collective decisions on the utility (consumption and choice) of tangible and intangible heritage assets among consumers measured in relation to other consumable products; we rely on the assumption that today’s consumer values the emotions, the unique interpretation of the cultural heritage and interactive participation during onsite visits (Mathias & David, 2014); and openness of the consumers (on age groups and professional profile) to cross ethnic cultural heritage resources as essential elements for ascertaining predominant consumption pattern (Ogundele, 2014). By implication it measures the extent to which modern consumer accepts involvement in cultural consumption and its development (assuming the interactive role of knowledge and heritage assets interpretation) as well as heritage conservation and environmental non-invasive cultural consumption.

To evaluate tourists’ consumption pattern of region specific tangible and intangible heritage in relation to the factors influencing cross-sectorial linkage and purchases; we first formulate the hypothesis; thus

**H<sub>0</sub>: There is no significant tourists’ consumption pattern of region specific tangible and intangible heritage in relation to the factors influencing cross-sectorial linkage and purchases**

**H<sub>A</sub>: There is significant tourists’ consumption pattern of region specific tangible and intangible heritage in relation to the factors influencing cross-sectorial linkage and purchases**

Respondents were classified by gender, income, age, educational level, type of tourist, and type of holiday (Table 2) on any of the 13 cultural consumption questions. With the simple frequency statistics or descriptive analysis, 81% of total attendees agreed that tourists’ consumption pattern of region specific tangible and intangible heritage in relation to the factors influencing cross-sectorial linkage and purchases (Jucan and Jucan 2013) are determined by income (22%); Religion (14%); Culture/Cultural Practice (13%); distance from urban center (11%); Gender (10%); Patriotism (15%) and Age (12%). Their ranking of other structures of the event authentic environment on behaviour are landscape (Historic sites, built environment, topography) (20%), food and drinks (19%), handicraft (30%), music and dance (17%); and rituals (12%)

**Table 2: Factors influencing Consumption of Cultural Heritage Resource Authentic Environment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Income</th>
<th>Religion</th>
<th>Culture Cultural Practices</th>
<th>Distance from Town</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Patriotism</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landscape/historic Sites</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handicraft</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Music/Dance</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food and Drinks</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Copyright (c) 2022 Author (s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). To view a copy of this license, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/
This study leaned on classification of tourists' pattern of cultural heritage consumption behaviour by Pulido-Fernández and Sánchez-Rivero (2010). In their classification _museum culturophiles_' – people who value historic collection but do not visit events, the _culturally inactive_ – people who attach particular importance to what cultural events can offer but may likely not attend the event, the _roaming culturophiles_, or tourists who take cultural events into account in making their choice of destination; and are very likely to attend the event. Richards and van der Ark (2017) divided the _roaming culturophiles_ into _heritage tourists_ (those attracted by historic collection) and _arts tourists_ (those attracted by events and performance e.g. dance)

The web chart (Figure 1) showed the overall cultural heritage consumption pattern of tourists'

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ritual</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>118</th>
<th>59</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>90</th>
<th>70</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

***Number of attendees within a population of 4,750 individuals***

---

Fig 1. Tourists’ Consumption of Cultural Heritage Resource Authentic Environment

that attended region specific cultural heritage activities. In the chart, there is strong relationship between income, religion, patriotism, culture/cultural practices and distance from town on one side; and landscape, handicraft and music/dance. The chart showed that for most Nigerians who attend cultural heritage ceremonies income and religion do not influence them as much as patriotism (strong ethnic identity and representation); identifying with their respective culture/cultural practices and distance from primary location do. Intrinsically these tourists’ are intrinsically motivated by the desire to purchase handicraft products, to enjoy local food and drinks and to experience their beautiful and serene...
environment (landscape). Where landscape is the major cultural heritage resource to be consumed, attending the ceremony can be strongly determined by income level and gender. The low influence of ritual depicts that there is massive acculturation or "whittling" of most Nigeria ethno-cultural activities. This could be interpreted by the constantly evolving "trendy" lifestyle of high net worth engagements. It is also represented in the chart that local cuisines (food and drinks) are not critical in the decision of choice of cultural heritage event and destination. Based on Pulido-Fernández and Sánchez-Rivero (2010) and Richards and van der Ark (2017); Nigerians that attend region specific cultural heritage events are generally attracted by events and performance. They are mostly "roaming culturophiles' with —Art tourists‖ cultural heritage consumption pattern.

Figure 2 showed that tourists that attend South East region cultural heritage events are more influenced by Age, Religion, Patriotism, Culture/Cultural practices and Gender. Income and distance from town do not strongly influence the decision to attend the cultural heritage events. They are motivated by satisfaction they get from music/dance, food and drinks, handicraft and landscape.

Fig 2. Tourists’ Consumption of Cultural Heritage Resource in South East Nigeria

Table 3; Factors influencing Consumption of Cultural Heritage Resource Authentic Environment in South-South Region of Nigeria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Income</th>
<th>Religion</th>
<th>Culture Cultural Practices</th>
<th>Distance from Town</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Patriotism</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landscape/choose Sites</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Age, patriotism, culture/cultural practices and income are major determinant to the consumption of rituals. Religion and distance from town are major deciders of the consumption of landscape. Again Age and distance from town influence consumption of handicraft. Age, Religion and distance from town is not critically considered in the consumption of food and drinks during cultural heritage events. The consumption pattern of South-South region cultural heritage event attendees is predominantly –roaming culturophiles‖ and of the –Heritage tourist‖ segment.

**Table 4: Factors influencing Consumption of Cultural Heritage Resource Authentic Environment in South West Region of Nigeria**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Income</th>
<th>Religion</th>
<th>Culture Cultural Practices</th>
<th>Distance from Town</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Patriotism</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landscape/historic Sites</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handicraft</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Music/Dance</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food and Drinks</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ritual</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***Number of attendees within a population of 1,198 individuals***

Copyright (c) 2022 Author (s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). To view a copy of this license, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/
The South West region appears to be more even both in cultural heritage ceremonies and in the consumption of its various authentic environments. There seem to be inherent enlivening of the inter-generational continuity ideology of all aspects of existing culture and cultural practices irrespective of the trendy influence of religiosity or the limiting influence of income. Being motivated by patriotism and culture/cultural practices indicates a tacit cultural fluidity. The South West region attendees of cultural heritage ceremonies are – Static High Brow cultural consumers.

Fig 4. Tourists’ Consumption of Cultural Heritage Resource in South West Region of Nigeria

By implication their cultural tenets have higher propensity for intra-regional cultural integration and exchange. The consumption pattern of the South-West region cultural heritage event attendees is therefore predominantly – roaming culturophiles and both of the – Heritage tourist and – Art tourist segment.

The chart (figure 5) showed the consumption behaviour of cultural heritage events in the North Central geopolitical region of Nigeria. The chart reveals obvious influence of gender, patriotism and culture/cultural practices on the decision about choice and destination of cultural heritage events.

Table 4; Factors influencing Consumption of Cultural Heritage Resource Authentic Environment in North Central Region of Nigeria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Income</th>
<th>Religion</th>
<th>Culture Practices</th>
<th>Distance from Town</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Patriotism</th>
<th>Age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Landscape/historic Sites</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handicraft</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musice/Dance</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food and Drinks</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ritual</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

***Number of attendees within a population of 1,171 individuals

Copyright (c) 2022 Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). To view a copy of this license, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/
Fig 5. Tourists’ Consumption of Cultural Heritage Resource in North Central Region of Nigeria

It also pointed to the fact that these factors are critical to their consumption of the authentic environment of the ceremonies. By implication there tend to be a clear demarcation between the influences of religion on their involvement in cultural heritage activities. The North Central region attendees of cultural heritage ceremonies are –Static High Brow cultural consumers. Like the South West region, the cultural tenets of the North Central region have higher propensity for intra-regional cultural integration and exchange. The consumption pattern of the North Central region cultural heritage event attendees is therefore predominantly –roaming culturophiles‖ and of the –Heritage tourist‖ segment.

DISCUSSION OF FINDINGS

With a response rate of 95%, the descriptive analysis of respondent’s demographics showed that across regions attendees of cultural heritage event were more of male (69%) respondents than female (31%). Majority of the respondents were university bachelor and doctorate degrees holders (34% and 12% respectively). About half (50%) of the respondents are in the age group 35 – 55 years. 25% of the respondents traveled between 1 – 10 kilometers to get to the festival venue and 23.9% have visited more than once. 76.1% stayed beyond one day and most (35.5%) of the respondent spent between ₦101,000 - ₦150,000.00 during each festival. These individuals are regular cultural heritage event goers. They are short-term holiday takers and consider these events as useful opportunities for enlivening strong ethno-cultural representation. The result showed that the male folks are more disposed to attending cultural heritage events than the female folk. This in a sense supports the patriarchal system that defines the Nigeria society.
Relying on the assumption that today's consumer values the emotions, the unique interpretation of the cultural heritage and interactive participation during onsite visits (Mathias & David, 2014); and openness of the consumers (on age groups and professional profile) to cross ethnic cultural heritage resources as essential elements for ascertaining predominant consumption pattern (Ogundele, 2014); we found that generally, decisions on the utility (consumption and choice) of tangible and intangible heritage assets among consumers relative to other consumable products; solely depend on the interactive role of knowledge and heritage assets interpretation.

As deduced, tourists' consumption pattern of region specific tangible and intangible heritage in relation to the factors influencing cross-sectorial linkage and purchases (Jucan and Jucan 2013) are strongly influenced by income (22%); Religion (14%); Culture/Cultural Practice (13%); distance from urban center (11%); Gender (10%); Patriotism (15%) and Age (12%). Their ranking of other structures of the event authentic environment on behaviour are landscape (Historic sites, built environment, topography) (20%), food and drinks (19%), handicraft (30%), music and dance (17%); and rituals (12%).

According to the classification of tourists‘ pattern of cultural heritage consumption behaviour by Pulido-Fernández and Sánchez-Rivero (2010) and Richards and van der Ark (2017), the relationship between income, religion, patriotism, culture/cultural practices and distance from town on one side; and landscape, handicraft and music/dance (figure 1), showed that for most Nigerians the decision to attend cultural heritage ceremonies is not strongly influenced by limiting disposable income, the distance to the location or region and or strong religious belief. There is greater desire strong for ethnic identity and representation (patriotism) and the need to identify with their respective culture/cultural practices. By implication patriotism and participation in their respective culture/cultural practices are strong intrinsic motivators. Across regional heritage events, authentic environment features that attract the interest of tourists are handicraft products, enjoyment of local food and drinks and experiencing their beautiful and serene environment (landscape). Destinations with vast array of heritage resource also have a major pulling force. Destination with very few cultural heritage resources (i.e. landscape etc) is visited sparingly. Tourist decisions to travel to these regions are strongly influenced by disposable income and gender of tourists. Another startling finding is that although ritual (i.e. sacred practices) is considered very fundamental in our respective culture and is a major constituent of the heritage resource (Oviedo & Jeanrenaud, 2006); the relative low ranking in the chart implied that it is not given any attention and does not influence decision to attend cultural heritage event. In other words there is the suggestion that there is massive acculturation or “whittling” of most Nigeria ethno-cultural ritual practices. This could be interpreted by the constantly evolving “trendy” lifestyle of high networth engagements. It is also represented in the chart that local cuisines (food and drinks) are not critical in the decision of choice of cultural heritage event and destination. Based on Pulido-Fernández and Sánchez-Rivero (2010) and Richards and van der Ark (2017); Nigerians that attend region specific cultural heritage events are generally attracted by events and performance. They are mostly „roaming culturophiles“ with “Art tourist”l cultural heritage consumption pattern.

The result showed that in the South East region (Figure 2) cultural heritage events are more influenced by Age, Religion, Patriotism, Culture/Cultural practices and Gender. Income and distance from town do not strongly influence the decision to attend the cultural heritage event. They are motivated by satisfaction they get from music/dance, food and drinks, handicraft and landscape. In the South East region, participation in ritual is dependent upon Age of the tourist and belief in culture/cultural practices. Ritual practices have continued to gain less attention in convention of cultural practices (Okpoko, 2011). While it is not possible to deny ancestral cleavages the south east region tend to have rapidly evolving lifestyle that seem to water down incidences of “ritual in various traditional practices” (Ezenagu 2014). The consumption pattern of South East cultural heritage event attendees is
predominantly -roaming culturophiles and of the -Art tourists segment. This finding agrees with the Awodiya (2016), on the rapid whittling of cultural imperative in the south east region due to acculturation and evolvement of western lifestyle.

In the South-South geopolitical region (figure 3), the decision to consume any cultural heritage resource tends to be relatively influenced by all the factors determining heritage capital consumption. In respect to experiencing the authentic environment, we gathered that music/dance predominantly fascinate aged people who always identify with their ethno-cultural practices (patriotism and culture/cultural practices). Unlike in south east, the south-south region pays much attention to rituals as a core tenet of culture and tradition (Olukoya, 2016).

Tourists to this region create simple natural partitioning in that consumption of landscape is strongly decided by the individual religious belief and the distance to which he/she must cover to reach event site. Again older tourists (both male and female) consider distance to the market center when attempting to by handicraft. However, consumption of cuisine (i.e. food and drinks) is neither affected by age of tourists, their religion nor the distance they have to cover before getting them during cultural heritage events (Tagowa, 2010). The consumption pattern of South-South region cultural heritage event attendees is predominantly -roaming culturophiles and of the -Heritage tourists segment.

The South West region (figure 4) tends to enliven the inter-generational continuity ideology of all aspects of existing culture and cultural practices irrespective of their level religiosity or the limiting influence of income. Factors that strongly decide participation in cultural heritage ceremonies and subsequent consumption of its various authentic environments appears to have even concentration in this region. There is strong implication of collective patriotism and bonding to culture/cultural practices (Awodiya, 2016). There is tacit cultural fluidity which easily distinguishes south west region attendees of cultural heritage ceremonies as -Static High Brow cultural consumers. It has been argued that the dynamism in the cultural tenets of the south west region have higher propensity for intra-regional cultural integration and exchange (Borowiecki & Castiglione, 2014). The consumption pattern of the South-West region cultural heritage event attendees was therefore found to be predominantly -roaming culturophiles and both of the -Heritage tourists and -Art tourists segment.

In figure 5, the consumption behaviour of tourists to cultural heritage events in the North Central geopolitical region of Nigeria revealed obvious influence of gender, patriotism and culture/cultural practices on the decision about choice and destination of cultural heritage events. These factors have significant implication on the consumption of the authentic environment of the ceremonies. For instance region has nothing to do with their practice of culture or involvement in cultural heritage activities. Cultural heritage resource consumers in the north central region can be classified as -Static High Brow cultural consumers. Their cultural tenets embodies higher propensity for intra-regional cultural integration and exchange. The consumption pattern of the North Central region cultural heritage event attendees is therefore predominantly -roaming culturophiles and of the -Heritage tourists segment.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION**

We summarize as follows;

There is significant tourists’ consumption pattern of region specific tangible and intangible heritage in relation to the factors influencing cross-sectorial linkage and purchases.

We conclude that the extent to which consumers’ value emotions, unique interpretation of the cultural heritage and interactive participation during onsite visits; can form a predictable cultural heritage
resource consumption pattern. Nigerians that attend region specific cultural heritage events are generally attracted by events and performance. Generally the cultural heritage consumption pattern of tourists that attend regional cultural heritage events can be classified as roaming culturophiles. Technically it reflects the fact that consumption of cultural heritage resource is dynamic in nature.
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