International Journal on Integrated Education (IJIE)

research parks publishing

e-ISSN: 2620 – 3502
p-ISSN: 2615 – 3785

Volume: 9 Issue: 2 | June 2026

https://journals.researchparks.org/index.php/IJIE

Article

Pedagogical And Psychological Mechanisms For Enhancing The Effectiveness Of Teachers' Professional Activity Based On Metacognitive Factors

Raximov Zoyir Jumayevich *[1]

1. Head of the Department for Quality Assurance in Education, Navoi University of Innovations*
Correspondence: RaximovZoyir11@gmail.com

Abstract: This study explores the pedagogical and psychological mechanisms for enhancing the effectiveness of teachers' professional activity based on metacognitive factors. Metacognition, understood as awareness and regulation of one's own thinking processes, plays a crucial role in improving instructional quality, reflective practice, and professional growth. The research analyzes how metacognitive skills—such as self-monitoring, self-assessment, planning, and self-regulation—contribute to teachers' decision-making, classroom management, and instructional strategies. Special attention is given to reflective practice, emotional regulation, motivation, and professional self-awareness as psychological mechanisms that strengthen pedagogical performance. The study emphasizes that integrating metacognitive training into professional development programs fosters adaptive expertise, critical thinking, and continuous improvement. Furthermore, the paper identifies practical strategies for implementing metacognitive approaches in teacher education, including reflective journals, peer feedback, and formative assessment techniques. The findings suggest that systematic development of metacognitive competence enhances teaching effectiveness, student engagement, and overall educational quality.

Keywords: metacognition, professional competence, reflective practice, self-regulation, pedagogical effectiveness, teacher development, psychological mechanisms, educational quality.

Citation: Ismatulloyevna J. L. Methods and Criteria for Assessing the Environmental Competence of Future Natural Science Teachers International Journal on Integrated Education (IJIE) 2026, 9(2), 101-108.

Received: 10th Dec 2025
Revised: 11th Jan 2026
Accepted: 09th Feb 2026
Published: 19th Mar 2026

Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

1. Introduction

The effectiveness of teachers' professional activity remains one of the most critical determinants of educational quality and student achievement in contemporary pedagogical contexts. Over the past decades, research in educational psychology and teacher development has increasingly highlighted that traditional approaches to professional competence—focusing solely on subject-matter knowledge, instructional techniques, or classroom management—are insufficient to meet the complex demands of modern education. In this regard, metacognition, broadly defined as the awareness, monitoring, and regulation of one's own cognitive processes, has emerged as a pivotal factor in shaping teachers' professional performance. By integrating metacognitive principles into their practice, educators can enhance their ability to plan, execute, assess, and reflect upon instructional activities, thereby promoting adaptive expertise and fostering continuous professional growth[1].

Metacognitive factors encompass a variety of skills, including self-monitoring, strategic planning, self-assessment, and reflective thinking, all of which facilitate higher-order cognitive functioning and informed decision-making in pedagogical contexts. The interrelation between metacognition and professional activity is multifaceted: not only does it enhance teachers' analytical and evaluative capacities, but it also strengthens their emotional regulation, motivational orientation, and professional self-awareness, which are essential psychological mechanisms supporting effective teaching.

Consequently, teachers who cultivate metacognitive awareness are better equipped to identify instructional challenges, adapt teaching strategies to diverse learner needs, and respond to dynamic classroom environments with agility and confidence[2].

Moreover, the integration of metacognitive strategies into teacher professional development programs provides a systematic framework for nurturing reflective practice and lifelong learning. Reflective journals, structured peer feedback, and formative assessment techniques, among other pedagogical tools, encourage teachers to consciously examine their instructional decisions, recognize areas for improvement, and implement evidence-based strategies that optimize student learning outcomes. This reflective cycle of planning, execution, observation, and evaluation constitutes the foundation of pedagogical innovation and continuous improvement[3].

In addition, the development of metacognitive competence contributes to cultivating a growth-oriented professional identity. Teachers equipped with metacognitive skills are capable of critically analyzing their own cognitive and emotional responses, adjusting their instructional methods in real time, and fostering a classroom culture that values curiosity, inquiry, and self-directed learning. Such competencies not only enhance teaching effectiveness but also reinforce students' metacognitive development, thereby creating a reciprocal dynamic that amplifies learning outcomes across the educational ecosystem[4].

This study aims to examine the pedagogical and psychological mechanisms through which metacognitive factors can be leveraged to enhance teachers' professional activity. It seeks to illuminate the complex interplay between cognitive awareness, reflective practice, emotional regulation, and professional motivation in facilitating effective instruction. By providing a comprehensive analysis of both theoretical foundations and practical applications, the research aspires to contribute to a more nuanced understanding of teacher development, informing the design of professional development programs and policy interventions that promote sustained educational excellence. Ultimately, fostering metacognitive competence represents not merely an enhancement of individual teacher performance, but a strategic pathway toward elevating overall educational quality and nurturing learners' intellectual autonomy in the rapidly evolving global knowledge society[5].

2. Literature Review.

The study of metacognition as a critical determinant of teachers' professional effectiveness has attracted considerable attention in both domestic and international educational research. Metacognition, broadly defined as the awareness and regulation of one's own cognitive processes, has been widely recognized as a cornerstone for fostering reflective practice, adaptive expertise, and professional growth in educators. Early foundational work by Flavell (1979) conceptualized metacognition as a dual construct encompassing both knowledge about cognition and regulation of cognition, laying the groundwork for subsequent applications in educational contexts. Flavell's framework has informed a substantial body of research that emphasizes the role of self-monitoring, strategic planning, and reflective evaluation in enhancing teaching quality and instructional decision-making. Subsequent studies by Brown (1987) and Schraw & Dennison (1994) expanded these conceptualizations, highlighting the importance of metacognitive skills in promoting self-regulated learning not only among students but also among teachers as professional learners themselves[6].

In the context of teacher development, a significant corpus of research has demonstrated that metacognitive competence is closely associated with improved pedagogical effectiveness. For instance, Schön's (1983) theory of reflective practice underscores that teachers' ability to critically examine their own instructional strategies, identify areas of improvement, and adjust their methods based on reflective insights is central to professional growth. Similarly, Zimmerman (2002) emphasized the cyclical nature of self-regulated learning, illustrating how planning, monitoring, and evaluating one's own teaching processes contribute to sustained improvements in instructional outcomes. These theoretical perspectives provide a conceptual foundation for understanding metacognition as both a cognitive and psychological mechanism that underpins teachers' decision-making, classroom management, and adaptive instructional strategies[7].

Russian scholars have also contributed substantially to this domain. L.S. Vygotsky's cultural-historical theory (1978) highlighted the interplay between cognitive development, social interaction, and self-regulation, establishing a theoretical basis for linking metacognitive development with pedagogical practice. Vygotsky's concepts of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) and mediated learning experiences underscore the significance of teachers' metacognitive awareness in scaffolding students' learning processes. More contemporary Russian research by A.N. Leontiev (2005) and N.V. Kuznetsova (2014) has elaborated on the role of reflective consciousness and metacognitive regulation in enhancing professional competence, emphasizing that teachers who actively engage in metacognitive reflection are better prepared to navigate complex classroom environments and respond flexibly to diverse learner needs[8].

Empirical studies conducted in various international contexts further corroborate the centrality of metacognition in teacher effectiveness. For example, Veenman, Van Hout-Wolters, and Afflerbach (2006) conducted a meta-analysis demonstrating that teachers' metacognitive skills are significantly correlated with their instructional planning, classroom assessment, and adaptive responses to students' cognitive and emotional needs. Similarly, research by Hattie (2009) highlights that teachers who develop metacognitive awareness and reflective practice are more effective in promoting student engagement, higher-order thinking, and learning outcomes. In the European context, studies in Finland and Germany have examined how structured professional development programs incorporating metacognitive training—such as reflective journals, peer coaching, and self-assessment frameworks—enhance teacher efficacy and instructional quality (Korthagen, 2010; Klieme et al., 2010).

The psychological dimensions of metacognition have also been extensively explored. Research by Pintrich (2000) and Efklides (2006) emphasizes that metacognitive regulation is closely intertwined with motivation, emotional control, and self-efficacy, suggesting that the development of metacognitive skills in teachers contributes not only to cognitive performance but also to professional resilience and adaptive problem-solving. Russian educational psychologists, including S.A. Lysenkova and E.V. Davydova (2012), have similarly highlighted that metacognitive reflection and self-regulation serve as critical mechanisms for reducing professional burnout and enhancing teachers' capacity to maintain instructional quality under challenging conditions[9].

Despite the wealth of theoretical and empirical evidence, research indicates that the systematic integration of metacognitive approaches into teacher education programs remains uneven. While many international frameworks recognize the importance of reflective practice and self-regulated learning, practical implementation often lacks coherence and continuity, leading to varied outcomes in teacher performance. Consequently, scholars such as Hargreaves (2005) and Kagan (1992) stress the need for structured professional development initiatives that explicitly cultivate metacognitive skills alongside pedagogical knowledge. In Russia, recent initiatives in higher pedagogical institutions have focused on embedding metacognitive training into curricula for teacher preparation, emphasizing reflective journaling, collaborative problem-solving, and formative assessment as key strategies for developing metacognitive competence (Pakhomova, 2016).

In summary, the literature consistently underscores the pivotal role of metacognition as both a cognitive and psychological mechanism in enhancing teachers' professional activity. The synthesis of theoretical models from Flavell, Schön, and Zimmerman, combined with empirical evidence from Russian and international scholars, highlights that metacognitive skills—including self-monitoring, reflective evaluation, strategic planning, and emotional regulation—directly contribute to pedagogical effectiveness, adaptive expertise, and professional resilience. Moreover, the findings suggest that systematic incorporation of metacognitive training into teacher education and professional development programs represents a strategic pathway toward improving instructional quality, fostering reflective practice, and promoting continuous educational improvement. By bridging cognitive, psychological, and pedagogical dimensions, metacognition provides a comprehensive framework for understanding and enhancing the professional efficacy of educators in complex, dynamic learning environments.

3. Methodology.

The present study employs a mixed-methods approach to investigate the role of metacognitive factors in enhancing the effectiveness of teachers' professional activity. Recognizing the complex interplay between cognitive, psychological, and pedagogical dimensions, the research combines quantitative analysis with qualitative insights to provide a comprehensive understanding of the mechanisms underlying metacognitive competence in teaching practice. The study is designed to identify both the extent to which teachers engage in metacognitive strategies and the impact of these strategies on pedagogical effectiveness, reflective practice, and professional self-regulation[10].

A purposive sample of 120 secondary school teachers from urban and rural educational institutions was selected to ensure diversity in teaching experience, subject specialization, and professional background. Among the participants, 68 were female and 52 male, with teaching experience ranging from 2 to 25 years. The sample was further stratified according to subject area—mathematics, sciences, language, and social studies—to allow for cross-disciplinary comparisons in metacognitive engagement and instructional effectiveness. Participation was voluntary, and ethical considerations, including informed consent and confidentiality, were strictly observed throughout the study.

To assess the metacognitive competence of teachers, the study employed the Metacognitive Awareness Inventory for Teachers (MAIT), adapted from Schraw and Dennison's (1994) Metacognitive Awareness Inventory. The MAIT measures two primary dimensions: knowledge of cognition (awareness of personal cognitive processes, strategies, and limitations) and regulation of cognition (planning, monitoring, and evaluating teaching strategies). Responses were recorded on a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree).

Additionally, the Professional Teaching Effectiveness Scale (PTES), developed for this study, was used to evaluate classroom management, instructional planning, assessment strategies, and student engagement. Qualitative data were collected through semi-structured interviews with 20 purposively selected teachers, focusing on reflective practices, emotional regulation, and perceived challenges in implementing metacognitive strategies[11].

Quantitative data were analyzed using SPSS 27.0, employing descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, and multiple regression modeling to determine the relationship between metacognitive competence and professional effectiveness. The reliability of the MAIT and PTES instruments was confirmed through Cronbach's alpha coefficients, which were 0.87 and 0.91 respectively, indicating high internal consistency.

To illustrate the SPSS-based analysis, the following Table 1. summarizes the descriptive statistics for the key dimensions of metacognitive competence and teaching effectiveness across the sample:

Table 1.

The descriptive statistics for the key dimensions of metacognitive competence and teaching effectiveness

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Minimum	Maximum
Knowledge of Cognition	4.12	0.54	2.80	5.00
Regulation of Cognition	3.95	0.61	2.50	4.90
Classroom Management Effectiveness	4.05	0.57	2.70	5.00
Instructional Planning	4.18	0.52	2.90	5.00
Student Engagement	3.88	0.65	2.40	4.90

Subsequent Pearson correlation analysis revealed significant positive relationships between metacognitive dimensions and teaching effectiveness variables. Specifically, knowledge of cognition showed strong correlations with instructional planning ($r = 0.63$, $p < 0.01$) and classroom management ($r = 0.58$, $p < 0.01$), while regulation of cognition was

strongly associated with student engagement ($r = 0.61$, $p < 0.01$) and overall teaching effectiveness ($r = 0.66$, $p < 0.01$). Multiple regression analysis further confirmed that both knowledge and regulation of cognition jointly accounted for 48% of the variance in professional teaching effectiveness ($R^2 = 0.48$, $F(2,117) = 53.91$, $p < 0.001$), indicating a substantial predictive power of metacognitive skills for teacher performance[12].

Interview data were analyzed thematically using NVivo 12 software, focusing on three primary themes: reflective practice, emotional self-regulation, and adaptive instructional strategies. Teachers consistently emphasized that self-monitoring of lesson delivery, structured reflection on instructional outcomes, and deliberate planning significantly enhanced their classroom efficacy. Furthermore, the qualitative findings corroborated the quantitative results, demonstrating that teachers with higher metacognitive competence were better equipped to manage classroom dynamics, respond to diverse learner needs, and implement evidence-based instructional strategies.

The study adhered to rigorous ethical standards, ensuring participants' anonymity, voluntary participation, and secure data storage. Triangulation of quantitative and qualitative findings enhanced the validity of the study, while the use of standardized instruments and SPSS-based statistical analysis provided methodological rigor. Furthermore, interrater reliability for qualitative coding exceeded 0.85, confirming consistency in theme identification.

In summary, the methodology employed in this study integrates quantitative and qualitative approaches to provide a comprehensive analysis of metacognitive factors in teacher professional activity. The combination of SPSS-based statistical modeling and thematic qualitative analysis allows for a nuanced understanding of how knowledge and regulation of cognition influence pedagogical effectiveness, reflective practice, and classroom engagement. The findings from this methodological framework establish a strong empirical basis for designing professional development programs that systematically cultivate metacognitive skills, thereby enhancing teachers' instructional quality, adaptability, and overall professional competence[13].

4. Result and Discussion.

The findings of the present study provide substantial evidence that metacognitive factors play a critical role in enhancing the effectiveness of teachers' professional activity. The quantitative results indicate that both knowledge of cognition and regulation of cognition are positively correlated with multiple dimensions of teaching effectiveness, including classroom management, instructional planning, and student engagement. These outcomes are consistent with previous research conducted by Flavell (1979) and Brown (1987), which emphasized that awareness and regulation of one's cognitive processes are foundational for effective learning and instructional performance. The observed relationships highlight that teachers who possess higher levels of metacognitive competence are more capable of anticipating potential challenges in lesson delivery, selecting appropriate teaching strategies, and adapting to dynamic classroom conditions in real time. This supports the notion that metacognition is not only a cognitive attribute but also a functional mechanism that bridges knowledge and practice in professional settings.

The regression analysis, revealing that metacognitive dimensions account for nearly 48% of the variance in teaching effectiveness, underscores the predictive power of these skills in professional contexts. This finding corroborates the theoretical frameworks proposed by Schön (1983) and Zimmerman (2002), which suggest that reflective practice and self-regulated professional activity are essential for continuous pedagogical improvement. In particular, the strong association between regulation of cognition and student engagement indicates that teachers' ability to monitor, evaluate, and adjust their instructional strategies directly influences learners' active participation and motivation. This aligns with Hattie's (2009) assertion that reflective teaching practices foster deeper cognitive and affective engagement among students, thereby enhancing overall educational outcomes[14].

The qualitative findings provide further insights into the mechanisms through which metacognitive competence translates into practical teaching effectiveness. Teachers reported that structured reflection, such as maintaining reflective journals, engaging in peer discussions, and systematically evaluating lesson outcomes, facilitated not only cognitive awareness but also emotional regulation. The ability to manage stress, maintain

motivation, and sustain professional resilience emerged as central themes in supporting effective classroom management and adaptive instructional strategies. These findings are congruent with research by Pintrich (2000) and Efklides (2006), which emphasizes that metacognitive regulation is closely intertwined with motivation, self-efficacy, and emotional control. In this context, metacognitive skills serve as both cognitive tools and psychological resources that enable teachers to navigate the multifaceted challenges inherent in professional educational practice.

The integration of Russian pedagogical perspectives further enriches the discussion. Vygotsky's (1978) concept of the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD) and Leontiev's (2005) emphasis on reflective consciousness provide a theoretical basis for understanding how teachers' metacognitive awareness supports scaffolding and individualized instruction. Teachers who engage in metacognitive reflection can better assess students' readiness, anticipate learning difficulties, and implement instructional interventions tailored to specific learner needs. Moreover, the Russian empirical studies by Lysenkova and Davydova (2012) reinforce the link between metacognitive practice and professional resilience, demonstrating that teachers with higher reflective and regulatory skills experience reduced burnout and greater instructional efficacy.

An important implication of these findings is the necessity of systematic metacognitive training within teacher education and professional development programs. While teachers in the study demonstrated varying levels of metacognitive competence, those who actively engaged in structured reflective practices exhibited significantly higher teaching effectiveness. This supports the recommendation by Korthagen (2010) and Kagan (1992) that professional development initiatives should go beyond technical pedagogical skills to explicitly cultivate self-monitoring, reflective evaluation, and strategic planning capabilities. Techniques such as reflective journaling, peer observation, collaborative problem-solving, and formative assessment not only enhance metacognitive awareness but also foster a culture of continuous professional improvement, critical thinking, and adaptive expertise.

Furthermore, the reciprocal impact of teachers' metacognitive competence on students' learning processes warrants attention. As teachers develop higher levels of self-regulation and reflective awareness, they are better equipped to model metacognitive strategies for their students. This modeling effect facilitates learners' independent problem-solving, critical thinking, and self-regulated learning, thereby creating a dynamic feedback loop in which metacognitive practices enhance both teaching quality and student outcomes. This dynamic aligns with Veenman et al.'s (2006) findings that teachers' metacognitive engagement directly contributes to learners' cognitive development, demonstrating the broader systemic significance of fostering metacognitive competence in educational settings[15].

Nevertheless, the study also identifies challenges associated with implementing metacognitive practices in professional contexts. Variability in teachers' prior experience, motivation, and exposure to reflective methodologies affects the degree to which metacognitive skills are adopted and applied. The findings suggest that targeted support, mentorship, and structured professional development are essential to overcome these barriers and ensure that metacognitive practices are consistently integrated into teaching routines. Additionally, the study highlights the need for institutional policies that recognize reflective practice and metacognitive skill development as integral components of teacher evaluation and professional growth frameworks.

In conclusion, the discussion demonstrates that metacognitive factors are indispensable in enhancing teachers' professional effectiveness. Both quantitative and qualitative evidence confirms that knowledge and regulation of cognition, combined with reflective practice and emotional self-regulation, constitute the core mechanisms through which pedagogical quality, instructional adaptability, and student engagement are improved. The integration of theoretical perspectives from international and Russian scholars underscores the universality and applicability of metacognitive frameworks across diverse educational contexts. Ultimately, fostering metacognitive competence is not merely an individual professional enhancement but a strategic pathway toward elevating overall educational quality, promoting lifelong learning among teachers, and cultivating autonomous, reflective, and critically thinking learners in contemporary classrooms.

5.Conclusion.

The present study provides compelling evidence that metacognitive factors constitute a central determinant of teachers' professional effectiveness, encompassing both cognitive and psychological dimensions of pedagogical practice. Through a systematic examination of the relationships between knowledge of cognition, regulation of cognition, and diverse measures of teaching performance, this research has demonstrated that metacognitive competence is not merely an ancillary attribute but a core mechanism through which instructional quality, classroom management, and student engagement are enhanced. The integration of quantitative analysis using SPSS-based modeling with qualitative thematic insights allowed for a holistic understanding of the multifaceted ways in which reflective awareness and self-regulatory practices shape teachers' professional behavior and pedagogical decision-making.

The findings highlight that teachers who exhibit higher levels of metacognitive awareness engage more effectively in planning, monitoring, and evaluating their instructional strategies, thereby adapting to dynamic classroom environments and addressing the varied needs of learners. This adaptive capacity is amplified by the concurrent development of emotional regulation, professional motivation, and reflective practice, all of which constitute essential psychological mechanisms supporting sustained pedagogical performance. By emphasizing both cognitive and affective dimensions, the study underscores that metacognition serves as an integrative framework linking theoretical knowledge, experiential insights, and practical application in the teaching process.

The implications of these findings are particularly significant for teacher education and professional development. Structured training programs that foster metacognitive skills—such as reflective journaling, collaborative peer feedback, and formative assessment strategies—emerge as essential interventions for cultivating adaptive expertise and professional resilience. Such programs not only enhance the efficacy of individual teachers but also contribute to broader educational goals by promoting student-centered learning, critical thinking, and self-regulated learning among students. Furthermore, the study suggests that institutions and policymakers should prioritize metacognitive development as a core component of teacher evaluation, continuous professional growth, and curricular innovation, thereby institutionalizing reflective practice as a standard of professional excellence.

From a theoretical perspective, the study corroborates and extends the foundational work of scholars such as Flavell, Schön, Zimmerman, and Vygotsky, demonstrating the universality and applicability of metacognitive constructs across diverse educational contexts. By synthesizing international and Russian pedagogical insights, the research illuminates the dynamic interplay between cognitive awareness, reflective practice, emotional regulation, and instructional adaptability, providing a comprehensive framework for understanding professional competence in contemporary education. Moreover, the empirical evidence presented herein establishes that metacognitive skills are predictive of teaching effectiveness, suggesting that deliberate cultivation of such skills is both feasible and essential for sustained professional growth.

The study also identifies avenues for future research, particularly regarding longitudinal interventions aimed at systematically developing metacognitive competence over the course of teachers' careers. Investigations into the differential impact of subject specialization, institutional culture, and teacher experience on metacognitive engagement would further refine our understanding of how reflective and regulatory skills manifest in practice. Additionally, exploring the reciprocal effects of teacher metacognition on student learning and classroom climate could provide valuable insights into the broader systemic benefits of fostering metacognitive awareness in educational settings.

In conclusion, the research unequivocally establishes that metacognitive factors—encompassing both knowledge and regulation of cognition, reflective practice, and emotional self-regulation—are indispensable for enhancing the effectiveness of teachers' professional activity. These factors serve as critical mechanisms through which educators can optimize instructional quality, respond adaptively to classroom challenges, and promote deeper engagement and learning among students. By integrating theoretical rigor, empirical evidence, and practical implications, the study contributes significantly to the

field of educational psychology and teacher development, offering a robust framework for enhancing pedagogical excellence, fostering professional resilience, and advancing the overarching goals of quality education in the twenty-first century. Ultimately, the cultivation of metacognitive competence represents not only an individual professional enhancement but also a strategic pathway toward systemic educational improvement, reflective professionalism, and the cultivation of autonomous, critically thinking learners.

References

[1] J. H. Flavell, Cognitive Development. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1985.
[2] A. Brown, J. Campione, and R. Pressley, Guidelines for Teaching Metacognition. New York, NY: Springer, 1996.
[3] D. L. Schraw and M. Moshman, "Metacognitive theories," Educ. Psychol. Rev., vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 351–371, 1995.
[4] H. A. Loughran, Developing a Pedagogy of Teacher Education: Understanding Teaching and Learning About Teaching. London, UK: Routledge, 2010.
[5] P. Pintrich, "The role of metacognitive knowledge in learning, teaching, and assessing," Theory Pract., vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 219–225, 2002.
[6] S. Veenman, H. Van Hout-Wolters, and P. Afflerbach, "Metacognition and learning: Conceptual and methodological considerations," Metacogn. Learn., vol. 1, pp. 3–14, 2006.
[7] J. Hattie, Visible Learning: A Synthesis of Over 800 Meta-Analyses Relating to Achievement. London, UK: Routledge, 2009.
[8] R. J. Sternberg, Cognitive Psychology and Education: Thinking, Learning, and Understanding. Boston, MA: Allyn & Bacon, 2003.
[9] M. D. Smith, "Teacher metacognition and professional development: Impacts on instructional quality," Teach. Teach. Educ., vol. 47, pp. 30–39, 2015.
[10] K. V. Wong, "Metacognitive strategies for enhancing teachers' instructional effectiveness," Int. J. Educ. Res., vol. 95, pp. 45–54, 2019.
[11] L. Darling-Hammond, The Right to Learn: A Blueprint for Creating Schools That Work. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass, 1997.
[12] D. A. Schon, The Reflective Practitioner: How Professionals Think in Action. New York, NY: Basic Books, 1983.
[13] C. A. Torres and M. S. Diaz, "Metacognition and teacher professional growth: Empirical evidence," Educ. Res. Rev., vol. 16, pp. 56–68, 2021.
[14] OECD, Teachers' Professional Learning and Development: Policy and Practice, Paris: OECD Publishing, 2019. [Online]. Available: https://doi.org/10.1787/5jlr8p6p3k6b-en
[15] B. J. Zimmerman, "Becoming a self-regulated learner: An overview," Theory Pract., vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 64–70, 2002.