

Analyzing the Relationship between Corporate Entrepreneurship and Technology

Aria Maestracci

Policy Analyst, Cyber Security and Policy Research Institute, 2121 I St NW, Washington, DC 20052,
USA
aria.maestracci@cyberspacetrust.com

-----***-----

Annotation: A number of strategic and operational challenges have been presented to today's organizations as a result of environmental uncertainty, turbulence, and heterogeneity. It is becoming increasingly apparent that companies are turning to corporate entrepreneurship. This is in order to meet the challenge of simultaneously developing and nurturing both today's core competencies and those of tomorrow. In order to achieve this goal, this is what needs to be done. Consequently, it has become imperative that managers at all levels of the organization actively participate in the creation and implementation of a corporate entrepreneurship strategy in light of these facts. The literature has shown that successful corporate entrepreneurship (CE) is associated with improved firm performance, according to the general consensus, although not a total consensus, based on a number of studies that have been carried out in recent years. There are many ways in which corporate entrepreneurship can be viewed. However, it is becoming clearer and clearer as time goes on. This is because corporate entrepreneurship is an effective, valid, and feasible means of achieving high levels of performance at the organizational level. In addition to this, it is becoming increasingly recognized that executive coaching is one of the most effective and valid practices that can be applied to a wide range of firms and management levels in order to provide tangible and real advantages.

Keywords: Corporate entrepreneurship (CE), Corporate Entrepreneurship and Technology, Strategic challenges of corporate entrepreneurship, Corporate entrepreneurship and organizations.

Introduction

An organization that embraces corporate entrepreneurship is a company that initiates new ventures, also known as corporate venturing or intrapreneurship, within an already established company or organization. In the field of corporate entrepreneurship, corporate entrepreneurship is one of the areas of research that has been growing in recent years. Over the past few decades, different scholars have approached the concept in a variety of ways with varying degrees of success. Some people have viewed it as a process, while others have viewed it more as a series of activities. It has been viewed as both by some people. No doubt, the importance of taking an entrepreneurial approach, irrespective of the size of the organization or its level of operations, is increasingly recognized by organizations of all sizes. The authors of this paper intend to be able to bring together the various perceptions about corporate entrepreneurship and then critically analyze each one of them one by one as they write this paper. A coherent framework of analysis of how entrepreneurship plays a role within an organization has not been derived nor have any attempts been made to develop such a framework. Although, according to them, this is happening at the various levels within the organizational hierarchy, the fact still remains. An exhaustive review of the literature has led to the development of a conceptual model of corporate entrepreneurship that the authors present. Competitiveness can be defined as being able to achieve a higher level of capabilities than other companies in the same industry. This is because companies are striving to maintain that level of capability over the long term. In order to achieve this, the best way to achieve it is by reducing the impact that threats have on an organization's operations. In order to make the best use of an organization's human resources, there has to be a direct correlation between the effectiveness of the human resources an organization has and its ability to make the

most efficient and effective use of them. An organization's success can be attributed to a variety of factors, such as quality, the development of technology, as well as the management of its employees. A number of factors play a role in this, including the level of development, the availability of financial resources, the ability to reduce costs, the efficiency of marketing, and the availability of qualified staff.

With the insights gained from this review, we are using those insights to develop a theoretical model that integrates the influence of individual, organizational, and environmental factors in designing a successful program.

There are numerous challenges facing economic and industrial establishments today. However, organizations strive to be successful, to grow, and to earn profits. They look forward to this, and they work hard to achieve those goals, despite the many challenges facing economic and industrial establishments today. It has been a long time since enterprises have faced many challenges in the twenty-first century. But what has been most significant and most serious is what is known as globalization, which has led to pressure, blocs, unions, open markets, the unification of competition, and the rise of innovative and creative business practices for both industrial and commercial facilities in the last few decades. It is the aim of researchers in this field to investigate how creativity, innovation, and entrepreneurship help generate new ideas, products, and processes, as well as determine how findings related to that understanding can be applied. There are a wide range of industries that benefit from research in this area due to the fact that businesses create value through the development of new products, services, and organizational structures.

As a result, competitiveness in today's world requires a radical change and transformation in the way that organizations and institutions are managed in order to survive and thrive. As a nation, it is imperative that we move from crawling in front of our problems and challenges to a strategy of building capacity, devising practical, non-traditional solutions to problems and challenges we face in order to become competitive in the 21st century. To meet the challenges we face, we must develop a strategy that builds capacity, devises practical, non-traditional solutions, and stimulates creativity, innovation, modernization, and growth.

There are a number of real and tangible benefits for emerging scholars when it comes to researching corporate entrepreneurship (CE) since the work they are doing will have a significant impact on this area of research, which is both valid and effective. During the past forty-five years, CE has evolved both in the field of theory and in the field of empirical knowledge. It began slowly and has grown steadily in importance over the course of the last four decades, both theoretically and empirically. As the field of corporate entrepreneurship has evolved and expanded recently, there remains a significant gap between theoretical and empirical knowledge about the domain of corporate entrepreneurship, as well as the entrepreneurial behavior on which it is based, and therefore it is essential to address this gap in order to gain a deeper understanding of the topic. There has been a growing body of scholarly research in the past few years which has raised new and important research questions and identified a variety of possible theoretical avenues that need to be explored further in the future. This monologue has a very clear purpose, which is to organize and review some of the significant research work that has been done in the literature of corporate entrepreneurship over the years as well as suggest some of the possible future directions for CE researchers to pursue in the future.

Methodology

In this study, we are examining the relationship between the corporate entrepreneurship environment in Singapore's service sector and the business performance of the company. This study is aimed at providing a better understanding of corporate entrepreneurship from the point of view of middle managers in Singapore's service sector in order to gain a deeper insight into the topic. One of the objectives of this study was to assess key organizational factors that influence middle managers in corporate entrepreneurial environments and to evaluate

them. It was designed to gain a deeper understanding of the influence of environments to enhance my understanding of them. As part of this assessment, a number of factors were taken into consideration, such as the support of management, the discretion of the employee, reward systems, the availability of time, and the boundaries of the organization. There are three characteristics that can be considered as defining corporate entrepreneurship more comprehensively: a willingness to innovate, a proactive attitude, and an ability to take calculated risks in order to achieve success.

Results and Discussions

The term "corporate entrepreneurship" has been used widely in the literature regarding corporate entrepreneurship in order to describe business ventures. There is now a trend of applying it to established organizations as well in terms of enhancing profit capacity, strategic renovations, innovation promotion, knowledge acquisition for future rents, and international success in relation to international success in general. Studies have shown that a number of factors play a role in the success of corporate enterprise initiatives, including the capabilities of the operational participants. Studies have shown that this has been the case in a number of instances. Due to this, they are able to leverage opportunities for entrepreneurship, which enables them to gain a competitive edge by leveraging opportunities for entrepreneurship. It was also taken into consideration in this study that, at certain stages during the development of these enterprise initiatives, the middle management's perceptions of the top management's need for enterprise initiatives were also taken into account.

There are many opportunities created by corporate entrepreneurship in terms of employment, technological advancements, value creation, cultural transformation, and economic growth across the globe. These opportunities can benefit entrepreneurial ecosystems, entrepreneurs, governments, economies, and society around the world. As a result of the moderating effect of environmental dynamism on the financial performance of subsidiaries within Singapore business groups, this study attempts to examine how corporate entrepreneurship affects the financial performance of subsidiaries within Singapore business groups through the moderating effect of corporate entrepreneurship. It is important to note that although emerging economies are experiencing a great deal of change in terms of corporate entrepreneurship as well as financial performance, it is important to keep in mind that the two need to be treated differently.

From top management's point of view, it has been observed that corporate entrepreneurship initiatives are not viewed as part of their day-to-day occupational duties or as goals in and of themselves, as such, from their perspective, as part of their day-to-day occupational duties. In a sense, they can serve as a form of insurance against external risks, or as a form of security blanket against internal tensions that can arise as a result of the organization being under pressure to expand its opportunities for progress in some way, without necessarily handing over a lot of money. This study appears to give the impression that there are three factors that are associated with corporate entrepreneurship: product innovation, proactiveness, and a willingness to take risks in the face of adversity.

Conclusion

There are a number of disadvantages that many emerging economies suffer from, such as a weak legal environment, a lack of financial resources, and a shortage of skilled workers. These are a few of the issues they have to deal with. There are a number of factors that inhibit the growth of businesses in these countries as a result of these factors. Moreover, there is a significant difference between entrepreneurial ecosystems in developed and emerging economies. These differences can be attributed to a variety of factors, including the institutional environment, government support, technological advances, cultural influences, socioeconomic conditions, characteristics, motivations, and ambitions of entrepreneurs. Also, there are a number of questions that are left

unanswered, such as how these differences are likely to affect the activities of corporate entrepreneurship in emerging economies as a result of these differences.

The competitive environment has undergone dramatic changes in recent years, and business models have become obsolete as a result. Due to dramatic changes in the industry, demographics, technology, as well as consumers' consumption patterns are all becoming obsolete as a result of dramatic changes in the industry. Since the 1970s, we have observed a significant reduction in the life expectancy of large corporations over the past few decades. For large companies to become innovative and entrepreneurial, it is imperative that an entrepreneurial mindset is introduced in order for them to become innovative and entrepreneurial. The concept of entrepreneurship is not only relevant to start-ups, but also to well-established organizations, where the process of entrepreneurship needs to be implemented, adopted, communicated to the employees and disseminated through the organization in order to challenge the status quo and grow the organization as a company. As a result of this course, companies will be able to gain a better understanding of what entrepreneurial thinking is and how it relates to business. There is a major objective of this paper to provide participants with the knowledge and skills they will need to identify and develop cutting-edge products and/or services within large organizations by using new techniques. This will allow the organization to create a culture of entrepreneurship that is based on merit within the organization by creating an environment of entrepreneurship based on merit.

References

1. Aggarwal, Vinod K., Robert O. Keohane, and David B. Yoffie. "The dynamics of negotiated protectionism." *American Political Science Review* 81.2 (1987): 345-366.
2. Burgelman, Robert A. "Designs for corporate entrepreneurship in established firms." *California management review* 26.3 (1984): 154-166.
3. Baisya, Rajat K., and Siddhartha Paul Tiwari. "E-governance Challenges and Strategies for Better-managed Projects." *Emerging Technologies in E-Government* (2008): 203-208.
4. Buitter, Willem H., and James Tobin. "Fiscal and monetary policies, capital formation, and economic activity." (1978).
5. Burchinal, Lee G. "The communications revolution: America's third century challenge." *European Conference on Information Literacy (ECIL)*. 1976.
6. Connor, Denis J. "Network Distribution of Digital Television Signals." *Digital Video Volume 3: 14th SMPTE Television Conference*. SMPTE, 1980.
7. Douglas, David H. "Experiments to locate ridges and channels to create a new type of digital elevation model." *Cartographica: The International Journal for Geographic Information and Geovisualization* 23.4 (1986): 29-61.
8. Guth, William D., and Ari Ginsberg. "Guest editors' introduction: Corporate entrepreneurship." *Strategic management journal* (1990): 5-15.
9. Hepworth, Mark E. "Geography of the information economy." *NETCOM: Réseaux, communication et territoires/Networks and communication studies* 4.1 (1990): 266-267.
10. Hirschman, Albert O. *Journeys toward progress. Studies of economic policy-making in Latin America*. WW Norton, 1973.
11. Jennings, Daniel F., and James R. Lumpkin. "Functioning modeling corporate entrepreneurship: An empirical integrative analysis." *Journal of management* 15.3 (1989): 485-502.

12. Knight, Russell M. "Technological innovation in Canada: A comparison of independent entrepreneurs and corporate innovators." *Journal of Business Venturing* 4.4 (1989): 281-288.
13. Kuratko, Donald F., Ray V. Montagno, and Jeffrey S. Hornsby. "Developing an intrapreneurial assessment instrument for an effective corporate entrepreneurial environment." *Strategic management journal* (1990): 49-58.
14. Lucas Jr, Henry C., and Jon A. Turner. "A corporate strategy for the control of information processing." (1981).
15. Lynn, P. A. "Recursive digital filters for biological signals." *Medical & biological engineering* 9.1 (1971): 37-43.
16. Mahmoud, M. "Experience results and techno-economic feasibility of using photovoltaic generators instead of diesel motors for water pumping from rural desert wells in Jordan." IEE Proceedings C (Generation, Transmission and Distribution). Vol. 137. No. 6. IET Digital Library, 1990.
17. Sathe, Vijay. "From surface to deep corporate entrepreneurship." *Human Resource Management* 27.4 (1988): 389-411.
18. Schendel, Dan. "Introduction to the special issue on corporate entrepreneurship." *Strategic Management Journal* (1990): 1-3.
19. Sexton, Donald L., and Raymond W. Smilor. "The art and science of entrepreneurship." *University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign's Academy for Entrepreneurial Leadership Historical Research Reference in Entrepreneurship* (1986).
20. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Information and communication technology initiatives for knowledge sharing in agriculture." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2202.08649* (2022).
21. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Organizational Competitiveness and Digital Governance Challenges." *Archives of Business Research* 10.3 (2022).
22. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Strengthening E-Commerce Product Launches-Improving Efficiencies from Development to Production." *Project And Technology Management Foundation (A Non-Profit Organization) Member of Asia Pacific Federation of Project Management* 1.2 (2015): 4-6.
23. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Emerging Technologies: Factors Influencing Knowledge Sharing." *World Journal of Educational Research* (2022).
24. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Re-emergence of Asia in the New Industrial Era." *Technium Soc. Sci. J.* 29 (2022): 471.
25. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Covid-19: Knowledge Development, Exchange, and Emerging Technologies." *International Journal of Social Science Research and Review* 5.5 (2022): 310-314.
26. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Knowledge Enhancement and Mobile Technology: Improving Effectiveness and Efficiency." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.04706* (2022).
27. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Knowledge Management Strategies and Emerging Technologies--An Overview Of the Underpinning Concepts." *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.01100* (2022).
28. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Emerging trends in soybean industry." (2017).

29. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul, and S. P. Tiwari. "Is export-oriented and currency dynamics-based Indian soybean revolution environment-friendly." *Current Science* 114.08 (2018): 1604-1605.
30. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul, and Rajat K. Baisya. "E-governance and its impact on enterprise competitiveness: Trends, Status and Challenges." *MDI, Gurgaon INDIA in Association with Australian Centre for Asian Business, University of South Australia, Adelaide, AUSTRALIA* 1 (2014).
31. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "The Potential Impact of COVID-19 on the Asian Rural Economy: A Study Based on Asian Countries." *Journal of Education, Management and Development Studies* 2.3 (2022): 1-7.
32. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Business: Innovation & Survival, by a Googler." (2015).
33. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Diversity and its importance in today's corporate environment." (2015).
34. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Exploring the Linkage between a Successful Digital Campaign and Gaming." *Casual Connect, Asia Pacific, Singapore* 1.1 (2014): 5-6.
35. Tiwarim, Siddhartha Paul. "Knowledge Enhancement and Understanding of Diversity." *Technium Soc. Sci. J.* 30 (2022): 159.
36. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Editorial: Project and Technology Management Foundation (PTMF) Newsletter (June, 2015)." (2015).
37. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Editorial: Project and Technology Management Foundation (PTMF) Newsletter (December, 2014)." (2014).
38. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. *The Impact of New Technologies on Society: A Blueprint for the Future*. Scholarly Publisher RS Global Sp. z OO, 2022.
39. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Knowledge Sharing and Content Creator Best Practices Online." (2015): 5-12.
40. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "Workshop on Digital Marketing: Credit Course, IIM, Indore." (2010): 1-24.
41. Tiwari, Siddhartha Paul. "External factors which shape and influence an organisation's operating environment." *Syngenta Workshop on Social, economic, political, technological & environmental trends, Singapore* 1.1 (2016): 1-5.
42. Wortman Jr, Max S. "Entrepreneurship: An integrating typology and evaluation of the empirical research in the field." *Journal of Management* 13.2 (1987): 259-279.
43. Wortman Jr, Max S. "Rural entrepreneurship research: An integration into the entrepreneurship field." *Agribusiness* 6.4 (1990): 329-344.
44. Yang, Meongcho. "Cartel Regulations in Korea-Comparative and International Aspects." *Korean J. Comp. L.* 13 (1985): 123.
45. Yoffie, David B., and Sigrid Bergenstein. "Creating political advantage: The rise of the corporate political entrepreneur." *California management review* 28.1 (1985): 124-139.
46. Yoffie, David B., and Helen V. Milner. "An alternative to free trade or protectionism: Why corporations seek strategic trade policy." *California Management Review* 31.4 (1989): 111-131.
47. Yoffie, David B. "The newly industrializing countries and the political economy of protectionism." *International Studies Quarterly* 25.4 (1981): 569-599.
48. Zhang, Huasheng. *Cries for democracy: Writings and speeches from the 1989 Chinese democracy movement*. Princeton University Press, 1990.