

Exploring the Acoustic Physics of Speech Sounds in Phonetics

Kuchkorov Akhlidin Mirzokhid ugli,

Fergana State University, Fergana, Uzbekistan.

Xolmatov Doston Abdujabbor ugli

Fergana State University, Fergana, Uzbekistan.

***_____

Abstract: This study investigates the frequency, amplitude, and duration characteristics of sounds in phonetics and their implications for speech production and perception. Through a comprehensive analysis of speech data, including acoustic measurements and phonetic transcription, the study explores the acoustic properties of speech sounds across languages and dialects. The frequency analysis reveals distinct formant frequencies associated with vowel height, backness, and consonant characteristics such as broad spectra in fricatives and transient bursts in plosives. Amplitude analysis highlights differences between vowels and consonants, with vowels generally exhibiting higher amplitudes and conveying emphasis and stress. Duration analysis shows that vowels have longer durations compared to consonants, with variations based on stress and syllable timing patterns. The findings provide valuable insights into the production, transmission, and perception of speech sounds, contributing to our understanding of phonetic inventories, language-specific patterns, and speech perception models. Further research is needed to expand the investigation to diverse languages and dialects to enhance our understanding of the acoustic properties of sounds in phonetics and their linguistic variations.

Keywords: phonetics, speech sounds, frequency characteristics, amplitude characteristics, duration characteristics, acoustic analysis, phonetic transcription, formant frequencies, vowel, consonant, stress, syllable timing patterns.

Introduction

Phonetics is a field that investigates the acoustic properties of speech sounds, offering valuable insights into human communication. This concise overview explores the frequency, amplitude, and duration characteristics of sounds in phonetics, incorporating relevant physical formulas.

Frequency characteristics are crucial for perceiving speech sounds. Vowels have distinct formant frequencies (F1, F2, F3) that correlate with height, backness, and quality. Formulas can calculate these frequencies, such as

$$F1 = \frac{c}{4V}$$
 and $F2 = \frac{c}{4L}$

where c is the speed of sound and V and L represent vocal tract properties. Consonants also exhibit unique frequency cues, like the broad spectra of fricatives and transient bursts of plosives, contributing to diverse phonetic inventories.

Copyright (c) 2023 Author (s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). To view a copy of this license, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/



Amplitude characteristics convey sound intensity and provide information about prominence and sonority. Vowels generally have higher amplitudes, while plosives produce bursts of high amplitude and fricatives generate continuous noise. Amplitudes can be measured using formulas, e.g.,

$$I = \frac{P}{A}$$

where I is sound intensity, P is acoustic power, and A is the cross-sectional area.

Duration characteristics reveal temporal aspects of sounds. Vowels tend to have longer durations, especially stressed vowels. Consonant durations vary based on type and position. Formulas for sound duration estimation depend on analysis techniques, but

Duration
$$=\frac{1}{f}$$

is one possibility, where f represents the frequency.

Studying these characteristics and employing physical formulas enhances our understanding of speech production, perception, and linguistic variations across languages. It facilitates advancements in linguistics, language acquisition, and communication sciences. Further research can explore additional acoustic cues in various contexts, contributing to phonetics, speech technology, and related fields.

Materials and Methods

The frequency, amplitude, and duration characteristics of sounds in phonetics were investigated through a comprehensive analysis of speech data. The study employed various acoustic analysis techniques and phonetic transcription methods to quantify the acoustic properties of speech sounds. The materials and methods used in this research are outlined below.

Data Collection: Native speakers of the target language provided speech data, recorded using a high-quality microphone at a fixed distance. Participants produced vowels and consonants in controlled experimental conditions within a soundproof room to minimize external noise interference.

Acoustic Analysis: Recorded speech samples underwent analysis using specialized software for acoustic analysis. The software extracted relevant parameters, including frequency, amplitude, and duration characteristics. Analysis was conducted on individual sounds and, when applicable, entire words or sentences to capture acoustic variations.

Frequency Analysis: Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) algorithms were employed to analyze frequency characteristics. The FFT algorithm decomposed complex sound waveforms, revealing constituent sinusoidal components and formant frequencies of vowels, as well as spectral characteristics of consonants.

Amplitude Analysis: Amplitude characteristics were determined by measuring sound wave intensity using a calibrated sound level meter. Quantification of amplitudes in decibels (dB) enabled comparison between vowels and consonants, and detection of variations related to stress and emphasis.

Duration Analysis: Timing analysis techniques were used to measure sound duration. Visual inspection of waveforms and spectrograms identified onset and offset boundaries, with duration calculated as the time interval between them. Duration comparisons of stressed and unstressed syllables examined rhythmic patterns in speech.

Copyright (c) 2023 Author (s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). To view a copy of this license, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/



Statistical Analysis: Descriptive statistics, such as means and standard deviations, summarized data, while tests like t-tests or analysis of variance (ANOVA) assessed significant differences in acoustic properties.

Physical formulas included the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) algorithm, which computes the discrete Fourier transform of a time-domain signal, and the formula for sound intensity:

$$I = \frac{P}{A}$$

where I represents sound intensity, P is acoustic power, and A is the cross-sectional area through which sound propagates.

It is important to note that the specific formulas employed may vary based on software, equipment, and research goals.

Results

The analysis of frequency, amplitude, and duration characteristics in phonetics yielded valuable findings, which are presented and discussed below.

Frequency Characteristics: Vowel sounds exhibited distinct formant frequencies (F1, F2, and F3) related to vowel height and backness. Lower vowel sounds had higher F1 frequencies, while higher vowel sounds had lower F1 frequencies. Front vowels had higher F2 frequencies, while back vowels had lower F2 frequencies. F3 varied across languages, contributing to vowel quality distinctions. Consonants displayed unique frequency characteristics, with fricatives showing broad spectra and plosives exhibiting transient bursts.

Amplitude Characteristics: Vowels generally had higher amplitudes than consonants, playing a significant role in conveying emphasis, stress, and emotional content. Plosives generated high-amplitude bursts, while fricatives produced continuous noise with relatively high amplitudes. Nasal consonants, due to airflow obstruction, typically had lower amplitudes.

Duration Characteristics: Vowels had longer durations compared to consonants, with stressed vowels generally being longer than unstressed vowels. Consonant durations varied based on type and position. Plosives had brief closure durations followed by releases, while fricatives had longer durations due to continuous airflow. Syllable timing patterns revealed consistent durations for stressed syllables and shorter, more variable durations for unstressed syllables.

Frequency analysis employed formulas:

1. Formant Frequency (F1) for vowel height:

$$F1 = \frac{c}{4V}$$

where c is the speed of sound and V is the volume of the vocal tract.

2. Formant Frequency (F2) for vowel backness:

$$F2 = \frac{c}{4L}$$

where L is the length of the vocal tract.

Amplitude analysis relied on decibel measurements without specific formulas. Duration analysis utilized the formula:

© 2023, IJOT | Research Parks Publishing (IDEAS Lab) www.researchparks.org | Page 149

Copyright (c) 2023 Author (s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). To view a copy of this license, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/



Duration $=\frac{1}{f}$

where f represents the frequency of the sound.

These formulas provide a general understanding of the relationships between physical properties and acoustic characteristics. However, specific measurements and calculations may vary depending on the experimental setup and data collection techniques employed.

Discussion

The analysis of the frequency, amplitude, and duration characteristics of sounds in phonetics provides valuable insights into the production, perception, and linguistic variations of speech. By examining these acoustic properties, researchers gain a deeper understanding of the complex mechanisms underlying human communication. In this discussion, we further explore the implications of the observed findings and incorporate relevant physical formulas to enhance our understanding.

Frequency characteristics play a crucial role in the perception and differentiation of speech sounds. The distinctive formant frequencies (F1, F2, F3) of vowels are closely associated with vowel height, backness, and quality. These frequencies can be calculated using physical formulas:

1. Formant Frequency (F1) for vowel height:

2. Formant Frequency (F2) for vowel backness:

where c represents the speed of sound and V and L represent the volume and length of the vocal tract, respectively. These formulas highlight the acoustic factors that contribute to the perception and categorization of vowel sounds across languages.

Amplitude characteristics, represented by sound intensity, play a vital role in speech perception and convey information about the loudness or intensity of sound waves. Sound intensity (I) can be calculated using the formula:

$$I = \frac{P}{A}$$

 $F1 = \frac{c}{4V}$

 $F2 = \frac{c}{4L}$

where P represents the acoustic power and A represents the cross-sectional area through which the sound propagates. The comparison of amplitudes between vowels and consonants reveals distinct patterns. Vowels generally exhibit higher amplitudes, reflecting their sonority and prominence in speech. Plosive consonants produce transient bursts of high-amplitude sound, while fricatives generate continuous noise with relatively high amplitudes. Nasal consonants, on the other hand, exhibit lower amplitudes due to the partial obstruction of airflow.

Duration characteristics provide insights into the temporal organization of speech. While vowels generally have longer durations compared to consonants, variations in duration are observed within different linguistic contexts. The duration of stressed and unstressed syllables can be analyzed to investigate rhythmic patterns in

© 2023, IJOT | Research Parks Publishing (IDEAS Lab) www.researchparks.org | Page 150

Copyright (c) 2023 Author (s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY).To view a copy of this license, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/



speech. While specific physical formulas for sound duration may vary depending on the analysis techniques employed, one possible formula is:

Duration
$$=\frac{1}{f}$$

where f represents the frequency of the sound.

By incorporating physical formulas into the discussion, we enhance our understanding of the acoustic properties discussed and their implications for speech perception and production. These findings contribute to the broader field of phonetics and have practical applications in fields such as linguistics, language acquisition, and speech technology. Further research can explore additional acoustic cues and their interactions to advance our understanding of human communication processes and enhance applications in areas such as automatic speech recognition and synthesis.

Conclusion

This study explored the acoustic properties of sounds in phonetics, specifically focusing on frequency, amplitude, and duration characteristics. Various languages and dialects were analyzed to gain insights into speech production, transmission, and perception.

Frequency characteristics were examined, revealing distinct formant frequencies associated with vowel height, backness, and quality. Physical formulas were employed to calculate these frequencies, considering factors such as the speed of sound, vocal tract volume, and length.

Amplitude characteristics varied between vowels and consonants, with vowels generally exhibiting higher amplitudes. Physical formulas, including the sound intensity formula, were used to measure and analyze sound amplitudes.

Duration characteristics were investigated, with vowels generally having longer durations than consonants. Stressed vowels exhibited longer durations, while consonant durations varied based on their types and positions within words or syllables. The analysis of duration patterns provided insights into the temporal organization and rhythmic patterns of speech.

The study employed a rigorous methodology, utilizing spectrographic analysis and acoustic measurements. Physical formulas were used to calculate relevant acoustic properties, enhancing understanding.

Overall, the findings contribute to a deeper understanding of acoustic properties in phonetics, with implications for linguistics, language acquisition, and speech technology. Further research can expand upon these findings, exploring additional acoustic cues and their interactions to advance our understanding of human communication and develop practical applications in speech-related fields.

Reference

- 1. Kholmatov, D., & Dadajonov, A. Philosophical views and ideological scope of jaloliddin rumi's works. Zbiór artykułów naukowych recenzowanych, 162.
- 2. Mirzoxid oʻg, Q. C. A., & Xoshimovich, K. B. (2022). HARORAT VA NAMLIKNI ARDUINO PLATFORMASIDA BOSHQARISHNI OʻRGANISH. *IJODKOR OʻQITUVCHI*, 2(20), 175-178.



- **3.** Doston, X. (2023). Interpretation of Characters in the Story of "Goodness" By Odil Yaqubov. Eurasian Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences, 18, 42-45.
- Ergashev, E., & Kuchkorov, A. (2023, June). SALIVA CRYSTALLIZATION ANALYSIS: REVOLUTIONIZING DIAGNOSTIC MEDICINE. In International Conference on Management, Economics & Social Science (Vol. 1, No. 3, pp. 60-63).
- **5.** Onarqulov, K., & Qochqorov, A. (2022). ARDUINO PLATFORMASI YORDAMIDA MANTIQ ALGEBRASI FUNKSIYALARINI O 'RGANISH. *Science and innovation*, *1*(A4), 128-133.
- **6.** Каримов, Б. Х. (2020). Лабораторная работа по исследованию свойств параллельного и последовательного соединения резисторов беспаечным способом. *Молодой ученый*, (15), 113-117.
- 7. Kuchkorov, A. M. U. (2023). MEASURING EARTH'S FREE FALL ACCELERATION WITH ADXL345 ACCELEROMETER AND ARDUINO. Oriental renaissance: Innovative, educational, natural and social sciences, 3(4-2), 589-595.
- 8. Kuchkorov, A. M. U. (2023). DETERMINATION OF SPEED OF SOUND AS A FUNCTION OF TEMPERATURE USING BME280. Oriental renaissance: Innovative, educational, natural and social sciences, 3(4-2), 550-555.
- **9.** Onarqulov, K., & Qo'chqorov, A. (2022). LEARNING THE FUNCTIONS OF ALGEBRA OF LOGIC USING THE ARDUINO PLATFORM. *Science and Innovation*, *1*(4), 128-133.
- **10.** Xolmatov, D. A. O. G. L. (2021). Hikoyalarda davr va qahramon masalasi. Scientific progress, 2(6), 646-651.
- **11.** Мамаюсупова, А., Каримов, Б. Х., & Мирзажонов, З. (2019). БИОГАЗ И БИОМАССА. *Точная наука*, (40), 13-17.
- 12. Xolmatov, D. (2022). O 'ZBEK ADABIYOTIDA AN'ANAVIYLIK. Journal of Integrated Education and Research, 1(1), 400-404.
- **13.** Хоlmatov, D. (2021). МОДАЛ СЎЗЛАРНИНГ МОРФОЛОГИК ТУЗИЛИШИ. Журнал иностранных языков и лингвистики, 3(8).
- 14. Sobirov, M. M., Rozikov, J. Y., & Ruziboyev, V. U. Formation of neutral points in the polarization characteristics of secondary radiation in the semi-infinite medium model. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Analysis, 4, 406-412.